Appendix of the paper "On the Performance of Linear Slepian-Wolf Codes for Correlated Stationary Memoryless Sources"

Shengtian Yang Department of Information Science & Electronic Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China Email: yangst@zj.com

May 31, 2005

This is an Appendix of the paper "On the Performance of Linear Slepian-Wolf Codes for Correlated Stationary Memoryless Sources" that is to be published in Proc. Data Compression Conference 2005. This Appendix mainly includes the omitted proofs and some related results that are not presented in the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1: The proof of Theorem 1 is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3, and has been presented in [1]. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2: Readers may refer to [2].

As for the property of the functions $J_{i0}^{(n)}(\rho_i)$, we have the following lemma. This is an improved version of the lemma presented in [1]. By this lemma, we conclude that the functions $J_{i0}^{(n)}(\rho_i)$ are increasing and convex.

Lemma 1 Define the function

$$J_0(x) = \ln \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}^{1/x} \right)^x, \quad x > 0,$$
(1)

where $m \ge 1$, $n \ge 1$, and p_{ij} are nonnegative real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij} = 1$, then

$$\frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx} = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)},\tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d^2 J_0(x)}{dx^2} = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \left(\ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \right)^2 \right],$$
(3)

where

$$P_{i}(x) \equiv \frac{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^{n} p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^{x}}{\sum_{i'=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^{n} p_{i'j'}^{1/x}\right)^{x}}, \quad Q_{ij}(x) \equiv \frac{p_{ij}^{1/x}}{\sum_{j'=1}^{n} p_{ij'}^{1/x}}, \tag{4}$$

and we further have

$$\frac{d^2 J_0(x)}{dx^2} \ge 0$$

with equality if and only if there exists an integer K $(1 \le K \le n)$ such that for every i,

$$\frac{p_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij}} = 1/K \text{ or } 0, \text{ or } \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij} = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 1: It suffices to show that (2) and (3) hold. We first calculate the first order derivative of J(x).

$$\frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}^{1/x}\right)^x} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}^{1/x}\right)^x \left(\ln\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}^{1/x} + x\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}^{1/x} \ln p_{ij}(-\frac{1}{x^2})}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}^{1/x}}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x}{\sum_{i'=1}^n \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{i'j'}^{1/x}\right)^x} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_{ij'}^{1/x}}{\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}} \ln\frac{\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}}{p_{ij'}^{1/x}}.$$

Next we calculate the second order derivative.

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2 J_0(x)}{dx^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x}{\sum_{j'=1}^m \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x}\right)' \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_{ij'}^{1/x}}{\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}} \ln \frac{\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}}{p_{ij}^{1/x}}\right)' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \frac{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x \sum_{j'=1}^n Q_{ij'}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij'}(x)}}{\sum_{i'=1}^m \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x} \right. \\ &- \frac{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x \sum_{i'=1}^m \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x}{\left[\sum_{i'=1}^m \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x}\right]^2} \\ &- \frac{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^x \sum_{i'=1}^m \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'j'}^{1/x}\right)^x}{\left[\sum_{i'=1}^m \left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'j'}^{1/x}\right)^x}\right]^2} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \left\{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{p_{ij}^{1/x} \ln p_{ij}(-\frac{1}{x})}{\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}} - \frac{p_{ij}^{1/x} \sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x} \ln p_{ij'}(-\frac{1}{x^2})}{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^2}\right] \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)} \left[\frac{p_{ij}^{1/x} \ln p_{ij}(-\frac{1}{x^2})}{\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}} - \frac{p_{ij}^{1/x} \sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x} \ln p_{ij'}(-\frac{1}{x^2})}{\left(\sum_{j'=1}^n p_{ij'}^{1/x}\right)^2}\right] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)}\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^m P_i(x) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \left(\ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij}(x) \ln \frac{1}{Q_{ij}(x)}\right)^2\right]. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, we can prove that the functions $J_i^{(n)}(R)$ are continuous, increasing and convex.

Corollary 1 Define the function

$$J(R) = \max_{1 \le x \le 2} \{ (x-1)R - J_0(x) \}, \quad R \ge 0$$
(5)

then J(R) is a continuous, increasing and convex function.

Proof of Corollary 1: By Lemma 1, we only consider the case that $\frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx}\Big|_{x=2} > \frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx}\Big|_{x=1}$ and $\frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx}\Big|_{x=1} \le R \le \frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx}\Big|_{x=2}$, and other cases are very easy to cope with since the maximum value can be calculated by just letting x = 1 or x = 2.

Then, it follows from Lemma 1 that $J_0''(x) > 0$ for all $1 \le x \le 2$. Therefore,

$$J(R) = (y(R) - 1)R - J_0(y(R)),$$

for $\left. \frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=1} \le R \le \left. \frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=2}$, where y(R) is a function satisfying

$$J_0'(y(R)) = R$$

From the above equation, it follows that y(R) is differentiable and

$$J_0''(y(R))y'(R) = 1.$$

Clearly, the function J(R) is differentiable. We then calculate the first and second order derivatives of J(R).

$$J'(R) = y'(R)R + y(R) - 1 - J'_0(y(R))y'(R) = y'(R)(R - J'_0(y(R))) + y(R) - 1 = y(R) - 1 \ge 0.$$
$$J''(R) = y'(R) = \frac{1}{J''_0(y(R))} > 0.$$

Hence the function J(R) is increasing and convex.

Proof of Remark 1: (1) If Φ_n and Ψ_n are mutually independent and $\Psi_n \circ \delta_n \stackrel{d}{=} \Psi_n$ for any permutation $\delta_n \in S_n$, we have for any ϕ_n and ψ_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{\Phi_n = \phi_n, \Psi_n = \psi_n\} &= \Pr\{\Phi_n \circ \Delta_n = \phi_n, \Psi_n \circ \Delta_n = \psi_n\} \\ &= \sum_{\delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}(\delta_n) \Pr\{\Phi_n \circ \delta_n = \phi_n, \Psi_n \circ \delta_n = \psi_n\} \\ &= \sum_{\delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}(\delta_n) \Pr\{\Phi_n \circ \delta_n = \phi_n\} \Pr\{\Psi_n \circ \delta_n = \psi_n\} \\ &= \sum_{\delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}(\delta_n) \Pr\{\Phi_n \circ \delta_n = \phi_n\} \Pr\{\Psi_n = \psi_n\} \\ &= \sum_{\delta_n} P_{\Delta_n}(\delta_n) \Pr\{\Phi_n \circ \delta_n = \phi_n\} \sum_{\delta'_n} P_{\Delta_n}(\delta'_n) \Pr\{\Psi_n \circ \delta'_n = \psi_n\} \\ &= \Pr\{\hat{\Phi}_n = \phi_n\} \Pr\{\hat{\Psi}_n = \psi_n\},\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\hat{\Phi}_n$ and $\hat{\Psi}_n$ are also mutually independent.

(2) Due to the independence of Φ_n and Ψ_n , we have

$$\begin{split} & E[S_{\boldsymbol{w}}(C_{(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n})})] \\ &= \sum_{\phi_{n},\psi_{n}} P_{\Phi_{n}}(\phi_{n}) P_{\Psi_{n}}(\psi_{n}) \sum_{\text{type}(x^{n}y^{n})=\boldsymbol{w}} 1_{\phi_{n}(x^{n})=0^{l_{n}}}(x^{n}) 1_{\psi_{n}(y^{n})=0^{m_{n}}}(y^{n}) \\ &= \sum_{\text{type}(x^{n}y^{n})=\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{\phi_{n}} P_{\Phi_{n}}(\phi_{n}) 1_{\phi_{n}(x^{n})=0^{l_{n}}}(x^{n}) \sum_{\psi_{n}} P_{\Psi_{n}}(\psi_{n}) 1_{\psi_{n}(y^{n})=0^{m_{n}}}(y^{n}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\text{type}(x^{n})=\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{w})} \sum_{\text{type}(y^{n})=\pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{w})} \sum_{\phi_{n}} P_{\Phi_{n}}(\phi_{n}) 1_{\phi_{n}(x^{n})=0^{l_{n}}}(x^{n}) \sum_{\psi_{n}} P_{\Psi_{n}}(\psi_{n}) 1_{\psi_{n}(y^{n})=0^{m_{n}}}(y^{n}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\phi_{n}} P_{\Phi_{n}}(\phi_{n}) \sum_{\text{type}(x^{n})=\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{w})} 1_{\phi_{n}(x^{n})=0^{l_{n}}}(x^{n})\right) \left(\sum_{\psi_{n}} P_{\Psi_{n}}(\psi_{n}) \sum_{\text{type}(y^{n})=\pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{w})} 1_{\psi_{n}(y^{n})=0^{m_{n}}}(y^{n})\right) \\ &= E[S_{\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{w})}(C_{\Phi_{n}})]E[S_{\pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{w})}(C_{\Psi_{n}})]. \end{split}$$

Before proving Theorem 4, we first list the results in [3, Section IV] that we need.

Theorem I The asymptotic normalized ensemble spectrum of a (c, d)-regular LDPC code over GF(q) is given by

$$B(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = H(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - cH(\lambda) - c\lambda \ln(q-1) + \frac{c}{d} \ln \inf_{\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)} \frac{A(x)}{x^{d\lambda}}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ denotes a q-dimensional vector of rational numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \theta_i = 1$, $\lambda = 1 - \theta_0$ and A(x) is given by

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{q} \{ [1 + (q-1)x]^d + (q-1)(1-x)^d \}.$$
 (6)

Theorem II Consider the asymptotic normalized ensemble spectrum $B(\theta)$ of a (c, d)-regular LDPC code over GF(q) and suppose q > 2. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ be an arbitrarily chosen number, and

$$J_{\delta} = \left\{ (\theta_0, \cdots, \theta_{q-1}) \left| 0 \le \theta_0 \le 1 - \delta, \theta_i \ge 0, i = 1, \cdots, q-1, \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \theta_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Let R be a given rational positive number and $\mathcal{R}(\theta)$ be the ranom-coding normalized spectrum corresponding to the rate R. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number $d_0 > 0$ such that

$$B(\boldsymbol{\theta}) < \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \epsilon, \quad (\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \equiv H(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \ln q + R)$$

for all $\theta \in J_{\delta}$, and all c, d satisfying $d > d_0$ and $R = (1 - c/d) \ln q$.

Theorem III Let R = 1 - c/d be fixed, $c \ge 3$. Then there exists $\gamma > 0$, dependent on R and q alone, such that

$$\Pr\{d_{\min} \le \gamma n\} = O(n^{1-c/2})$$

where d_{\min} is the minimum distance of a randomly selected (c, d)-regular LDPC code over GF(q) of length n.

Proof of Theorem 4: By Theorem III, there exit $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\Pr\{d_{\min}(C_{\Phi_n}) \le \delta_1 n\} = O(n^{1-c_1/2}) \text{ and } \Pr\{d_{\min}(C_{\Psi_n}) \le \delta_2 n\} = O(n^{1-c_2/2}),$$

hence in order to prove the theorem, we first assume the event

$$D_n \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega | d_{\min}(C_{\Phi_n}) > \delta_1 n, d_{\min}(C_{\Psi_n}) > \delta_2 n\}$$

occurs and consider the conditional average probability of MAP decoding error. Since $Pr(D_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_n})|D_n] \leq \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < \operatorname{wt}(\boldsymbol{u}) \le \delta_1 n \\ 2E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_n})], & \operatorname{wt}(\boldsymbol{u}) > \delta_1 n \end{cases}$$
(7a)
(7b)

and

$$E[S_{\boldsymbol{v}}(C_{\Psi_n})|D_n] \leq \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < \operatorname{wt}(\boldsymbol{v}) \le \delta_2 n \\ 2E[S_{\boldsymbol{v}}(C_{\Psi_n})], & \operatorname{wt}(\boldsymbol{v}) > \delta_2 n \end{cases}$$
(8a)
(8b)

for sufficiently large n, where wt(t) is the number of nonzero elements in a word of type t.

Then we estimate the value of α_n , β_n and γ_n by letting $U = \{\boldsymbol{u} | \operatorname{wt}(\boldsymbol{u}) \leq \delta_1 n\}, V = \{\boldsymbol{v} | \operatorname{wt}(\boldsymbol{v}) \leq \delta_2 n\}$ and $W = \pi_1^{-1}(U) \cup \pi_2^{-1}(V)$.

$$\frac{1}{n}\ln\alpha_{n} = \frac{1}{n}\ln\max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in U^{c}}\frac{E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_{n}})|D_{n}]q_{1}^{l_{n}}}{\binom{n}{\boldsymbol{u}}}$$

$$= \max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in U^{c}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\ln E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_{n}})|D_{n}] - \frac{1}{n}\ln\frac{\binom{n}{\boldsymbol{u}}}{q_{1}^{l_{n}}}\right]$$

$$\leq \max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in U^{c}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\ln E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_{n}})|D_{n}] - \frac{1}{n}\ln E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_{n}})]\right] + \max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in U^{c}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\ln E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_{n}})] - B(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right]$$

$$+ \max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in U^{c}}\left[B(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right] + \max_{\boldsymbol{u}\in U^{c}}\left[\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{n}\ln\frac{\binom{n}{\boldsymbol{u}}}{q_{1}^{l_{n}}}\right]$$
(9)

It follows from (7) that the first term in (9) approaches zero as $n \to \infty$. The second term relies on [3, Theorem 10] and the results obtained in the proof of Theorem I, that is,

$$\frac{1}{n}\ln E[S_{\boldsymbol{u}}(C_{\Phi_n})] = \frac{1}{n}\ln\left[\binom{n}{\boldsymbol{u}}\operatorname{Pr}\{x^n \in C_{\Phi_n}|\operatorname{type}(x^n) = \boldsymbol{u}\}\right]$$

$$\leq H(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \frac{1}{n}\ln\frac{\left\lfloor A(x)^{c_1n/d_1} \right\rfloor_{\lambda c_1n}}{\binom{c_1n}{\lambda c_1n}(q_1-1)^{\lambda c_1n}}$$

$$\leq H(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - c_1H(\lambda) + \frac{q_1}{n}\ln(c_1n+1) - c_1\lambda\ln(q_1-1) + \frac{c_1}{d_1}\ln\inf_{\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)}\frac{A(x)}{x^{\lambda d_1}}$$

$$= B(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \frac{q_1}{n}\ln(c_1n+1),$$

where $\theta_i = u_i/n$, $\lambda = 1 - \theta_0$, and A(x) is defined by (6). Thus, the second term in (9) evaluated over all valid \boldsymbol{u} is bounded arbitrarily close to zero as $n \to \infty$. By Theorem II the third term is smaller than $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for $d_1 > d_{10}$ and sufficiently large n. It can be easily shown that the last term also approaches zero as $n \to \infty$, and hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \alpha_n < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for $d_1 > d_{10}$. Analogously,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \beta_n < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \gamma_n \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} (\ln \alpha_n + \ln \beta_n) < \epsilon$$

for $d_1 > d_{10}$ and $d_2 > d_{20}$. Therefore, we have $\frac{1}{n} \ln \alpha_n < \epsilon$, $\frac{1}{n} \ln \beta_n < \epsilon$ and $\frac{1}{n} \ln \gamma_n < \epsilon$ for $d_1 > d_{10}$, $d_2 > d_{20}$ and sufficiently large n. Then by Theorem 3 the conditional average probability $E[P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n, \Psi_n)|D_n]$ can be bounded by

$$e^{-n\tilde{J}_1(R_1-\epsilon)} + e^{-n\tilde{J}_2(R_2-\epsilon)} + e^{-n\tilde{J}_3(R_1+R_2-\epsilon)} < \frac{1}{n}e^{-nJ}$$

for sufficiently large n, that is, $-\frac{1}{n}\ln(nE[P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n,\Psi_n)|D_n]) > J$ for sufficiently large n. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr\{-\frac{1}{n}\ln P_{e}^{(n)}(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n}) < J\} \\ &\leq \Pr\{-\frac{1}{n}\ln P_{e}^{(n)}(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n}) < J|D_{n}\} + \Pr(D_{n}^{c}) \\ &\leq \Pr\left\{-\frac{1}{n}\ln P_{e}^{(n)}(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n}) < -\frac{1}{n}\ln(nE[P_{e}^{(n)}(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n})|D_{n}])\Big| D_{n}\right\} + \Pr(D_{n}^{c}) \\ &= \Pr\left\{P_{e}^{(n)}(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n}) > nE[P_{e}^{(n)}(\Phi_{n},\Psi_{n})|D_{n}]\Big| D_{n}\right\} + \Pr(D_{n}^{c}) \\ &< \frac{1}{n} + \Pr(D_{n}^{c}), \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr\{-\frac{1}{n} \ln P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n, \Psi_n) \ge J\} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - \frac{1}{n} - \Pr(D_n^c)) = 1.$$

This proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5: By Remark 1 and Theorem 3, we have for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $n_0(\delta)$ such that for $n > n_1(\delta)$,

$$E[P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n, \Psi_n \circ \hat{\Delta}_n)] \le e^{-n\tilde{J}_1(R_1 - \epsilon_1 - \delta)} + e^{-n\tilde{J}_2(R_2 - \epsilon_2 - \delta)} + e^{-n\tilde{J}_3(R_1 + R_2 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \delta)}$$

Due to the continuity of $\tilde{J}_i(R)$, we have

$$e^{-n\tilde{J}_1(R_1-\epsilon_1-\delta)} + e^{-n\tilde{J}_2(R_2-\epsilon_2-\delta)} + e^{-n\tilde{J}_3(R_1+R_2-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2-\delta)} < \frac{1}{n}e^{-nJ}$$

for sufficiently small δ and $n > n_2(\delta)$. Then

$$\Pr\{-\frac{1}{n}\ln P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n, \Psi_n \circ \hat{\Delta}_n) < J\} = \Pr\{P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n, \Psi_n \circ \hat{\Delta}_n) > e^{-nJ}\} < \frac{1}{n}$$

for $n > \max\{n_1(\delta), n_2(\delta)\}$, and hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr\{-\frac{1}{n} \ln P_e^{(n)}(\Phi_n, \Psi_n \circ \hat{\Delta}_n) \ge J\} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - \frac{1}{n}) = 1,$$

which proves the theorem.

References

- [1] S. Yang and P. Qiu, "A new proof of the Slepian-Wolf theorem and performance analysis of nonrandom codes," *Acta Electronica Sinica (in Chinese)*, submitted.
- [2] —, "Some extensions of gallager's method to general sources and channels," accepted by Proc. ISIT 2005 (available at http://arxiv.org/abs/cs/0502001).
- [3] A. Bennatan and D. Burshtein, "On the application of LDPC codes to arbitrary discretememoryless channels," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 417–437, Mar. 2004.